

## REAL WORLD TESTING RESULTS

### GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Report ID Number</b>	20211213HEA
<b>Developer Name</b>	Health Companion, Inc
<b>Product Name(s)</b>	Health Companion
<b>Version Number(s)</b>	4.0
<b>Certified Health IT Product List (CHPL) ID(s)</b>	15.05.05.1584.HTHC.02.02.1.221219 (current) 15.05.02.1584.A055.01.00.1.180124 (previous)
<b>Developer Real World Testing PLAN Page URL</b>	<a href="https://www.healthcompanion.com/business/rwt/rwt.html">https://www.healthcompanion.com/business/rwt/rwt.html</a>
<b>Developer Real World Testing RESULTS Page URL</b>	<a href="https://www.healthcompanion.com/business/rwt/rwt.html">https://www.healthcompanion.com/business/rwt/rwt.html</a>

### [OPTIONAL] CHANGES TO ORIGINAL PLAN

If a developer has made any changes to their approach for Real World Testing that differs from what was outlined in their plan, note these changes here.

<b>Summary of Change</b> [Summarize each element that changed between the plan and actual execution of Real World Testing]	<b>Reason</b> [Describe the reason this change occurred]	<b>Impact</b> [Describe what impact this change had on the execution of your Real World Testing activities]
<p><b>e (1) – View, Download and Transmit</b> Planned to execute all the matrix and planned the data collection and review in every quarter. Planned to do the care setting for all provider specialties Actual – Conducted the basic testing to ensure the functionality is working in production. Every quarter conducted the basic sanity testing. Care setting is focused only for radiology, since we are targeting only the radiology customers going forward.</p>	<p>No active customers using e(1) in production.  No changes added to the system that impact the e(1) functionalities.</p>	<p>Since there are no active customers, we restricted our testing to the basic sanity testing, focusing only radiology as the care setting. We minimized our effort to just make sure that the certified measure is running properly in production</p>
<p><b>G(7) and g(9)</b> Planned to execute a real scenario based testing of patient authenticate and receive the data via an end user application Actual – Data based testing. Sanity testing done on the patient accessing the system and receive the data</p>	<p>No active customers using g(7) and g(9) in production.  No changes added to the system that impact g(7) and g(9) functionalities.</p>	<p>Since there are no active customers, we restricted our testing to the basic sanity testing, focusing only radiology as the care setting. We minimized our effort to just make sure that the certified measure is running properly in production</p>

**[OPTIONAL] WITHDRAWN PRODUCTS**

*If a developer withdrew any products within the past year that were previously included in their Real World Testing plan, please provide the following information.*

<b>Product Name(s):</b>	Health Companion
<b>Version Number(s):</b>	4.0
<b>CHPL ID(s):</b>	15.05.05.1584.HTHC.01.01.1.220105
<b>Date(s) Withdrawn:</b>	December 19, 2022
<b>Inclusion of Data in Results Report:</b> [Provide a statement as to whether any data was captured on the withdrawn products. If so, this data should be identified in the results report.]	G(8) and H(2) has withdrawn from the list. No data was captured.

**SUMMARY OF TESTING METHODS AND KEY FINDINGS**

*Provide a summary of the Real World Testing methods deployed to demonstrate real-world interoperability, including any challenges or lessons learned from the chosen approach. Summarize how the results that will be shared in this report demonstrate real-world interoperability.*

*If any non-conformities were discovered and reported to the ONC-ACB during testing, outline these incidences and how they were addressed.*

*Note: A single Real World Testing results report may address multiple products and certification criteria for multiple care settings.*

<p>E(1) -  <b>Testing approach</b> - Data Analysis  <b>Test strategy and execution</b> -          Generated the count of CCDAs made available to the patient and the number of patients logged in and accessed, downloaded and transmitted the document.</p> <p><b>The results confirmed that the E(1) measure are working property in real world scenarios. Test data was executed using Ambulatory sample test CCDs.</b></p> <p>G(7) and G(9) –  <b>Testing approach</b> - Data Analysis  <b>Test strategy and execution</b>          Sample vendor registration          Count of patients accessed their documents through API</p> <p><b>The results confirmed that g(7) and g(9) measure are working property in real world scenarios.</b></p>
---

## STANDARDS UPDATES (INCLUDING STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS (SVAP) AND UNITED STATES CORE DATA FOR INTEROPERABILITY (USCDI))

*Both required and voluntary standards updates must be addressed in the Real World Testing plan. Real World Testing plans must include all certified health IT updated to newer versions of standards prior to August 31 of the year in which the updates were made.*

*Indicate as to whether optional standards, via SVAP and/or USCDI, are leveraged as part of the certification of your health IT product(s).*

- Yes, I have products certified with voluntary SVAP or USCDI standards. (If yes, please complete the table below).
- No, none of my products include these voluntary standards

Standard (and version)	
Updated certification criteria and associated product	
Health IT Module CHPL ID	
Conformance measure	

### Care Setting(s)

*The expectation is that a developer’s Real World Testing is conducted within each type of clinical setting in which their certified health IT is marketed. Health IT developers are not required to test their certified health IT in every setting in which it is marketed for use.*

*List each care setting that was tested.*

Ambulatory (Provider system – Radiology)

### Metrics and Outcomes

*Health IT developers should detail outcomes from their testing that successfully demonstrate that the certified health IT:*

1. is compliant with the certification criteria, including the required technical standards and vocabulary codes sets;

2. is exchanging electronic health information (EHI) in the care and practice settings for which it is marketed for use; and/or,
3. EHI is received by and used in the certified health IT.

(from 85 FR 25766)

*Health IT developers could also detail outcomes that did not result from their measurement approach if that better describes their efforts.*

*Within this section, health IT developers should also describe how the specific data collected from their Real World Testing measures demonstrate their results. Where possible, context should be provided to the measures and results to understand the number of sites/users/transactions tested for the specified measures (i.e., the denominator for comparison to the reported results). If applicable, any Relied Upon Software that is used to meet a criterion's requirements should be included in this section.*

Measurement /Metric	Associated Criterion(a)	Relied Upon Software (if applicable)	Outcomes	Challenges Encountered (if applicable)
<b>E(1) View, Download and Transmit</b>  Total number of C-CDA documents made available to patients via a patient portal	E(1)	Falcon EHR 5.9	30	
<b>E(1) View, Download and Transmit</b>  Total number of patients accessed/viewed, downloaded and transmitted the document	E(1)	Falcon EHR 5.9	Viewed – 15 Downloaded – 6 Transmitted - 6	
<b>Application Access</b>  Total number of patients access the documents through API	G(7) and g(9)		4	

## KEY MILESTONES

*Include a list of key milestones that were met during the Real World Testing process. Include details on how and when the developer implemented measures and collected data. Key milestones should be relevant and directly related to outcomes discussed.*



*For each key milestone, describe when Real World Testing began in specific care settings and the date/timeframe during which data was collected.*

Key Milestone	Care Setting	Date/Timeframe
Testing and verification done	Ambulatory	Every quarter